

Virginia Free Press.
BY GALLAHER & CO.
THURSDAY MORNING.
NOVEMBER 12, 1857.

THE MARSHAL'S FERRY JUSTICES.

ANDREW E. KENNEDY and Henry Hunter, special Attorneys of the Commonwealth of Va., acting at the instance of the County Court of Jefferson, vs. Thomas W. Shriver, Armistead M. Ball, Edmund H. Chambers, Wm. H. Turk and Thomas Russell, Jr.

This case was instituted in the Circuit Court, at the May Term, 1857, upon the information of the plaintiffs. The information stated that the defendants were elected and commissioned as Justices of the Peace for Jefferson County, and were now holding said offices in violation of the 2d section of chap. 12 of the Code of Virginia, which is as follows:

"No person shall be capable of holding any such post, as is in the words of the 1st sect. any post of profit, trust or emolument, civil or military, legislative, executive or judicial, under the government of this commonwealth, who holds any post of profit, trust or emolument, civil or military, legislative, executive or judicial, under the government of the United States, or any receives in any way from the United States, any emolument whatever."

The 3d section excepts, from the operation of the 2d sec., members of Congress in so far as the offices of justice and visitors of the University, and offices in the militia are concerned; and also, excepts generally and person to whom a pension has been granted by the U. S. "in consequence of a wound received in war," and "militia officers or soldiers, on account of the recompence they may receive from the United States when called out into actual duty."

The evidence showed that Shriver, at the time of his election and commission, as well as since, held the post of book-keeper, or clerk, in the Armory at Harper's Ferry, and received an annual salary of \$800; with certain perquisites, from the United States; that the other defendants, at the time of their election and commission, and since, were in the employment of the United States at said Armory, and were paid by the United States at the end of each month; that they were employed by the "day, or job," and had been so employed constantly from the time of their election.

Agreements in writing were filed, concerning that the Circuit Court should finally determine the right of the defendants to hold the offices of Justice, without further proof of law.

The case was argued at May term, and the Court, Judge Parker, rendered his decision at this October term. He decided that sufficient cause was not shown why the offices of Ball, Chambers, Turk and Russell, as Justices, should be nullified and vacated, and, therefore, dismissed the rule as to them; and as to the defendant, Shriver, the Court "being of the opinion that he was disengaged at the time of his election and commission from holding the office of Justice of the Peace for Jefferson County, by reason of his holding an office under the Federal Government," in accordance with the agreements in writing between the parties, nullified and vacated his commission without further proof of law.

As this case involves the construction of a law not heretofore brought before the Courts, and is of much interest to the community, we hope that the County Court will direct it to be taken to the Court of Appeals.

BALTIMORE & OHIO R. R.

The Accommodation Train from Baltimore to Cumberland has been withdrawn; also, the night train from Cumberland to Baltimore. The Mail trains arrive at Harper's Ferry near the usual hours. The Express East, passes Harper's Ferry at 7 o'clock in the morning, thus affording persons desiring that line, time to reach there from almost any point of the county.

The Express West passes Harper's Ferry about 9 at night—giving passengers time for supper.

The Express passengers East, breakfast at the "St. Louis Home," Martinsburg—and we observed that "mine host," Saxe does not intend to be out done in giving good grub to his guests. With broiled chickens, beefsteak, pork steaks, sausages, chops, ham, etc., hot coffee, tea, milk, hot rolls and buckwheat cakes, with the Berkley butter, (for which that old country is noted,) we think if passengers will then grumble, they ought to be fed on hard crackers and bilge water for thirty days.

JEFFERSON DRATING SOCIETY.

Ought not the above named Society to be reviv'd? And, is it not high time? The nights are long, and one Evening in each week during the coming winter, could be agreeably and profitably employed by the young gentlemen, of the town and vicinity, in a political way. Let not Charlestown be behind other towns in this respect. Reorganize the "Jefferson Society," and conduct it in a proper manner, and we shall have a pastime that will be serviceable and interesting, and one that will receive encouragement from the ladies.

PUMPKIN SEEDS.

Our friend, S. G. Niles, of Springfield, Ohio, formerly of this county, has had the kindness to forward us by mail, a dozen Pumpkin Seeds from a monster raised by him this season. The weight of the pumpkins was 140 lbs.—measured 9 feet 9 inches. Had it been possible for its transit to us by mail, our friends would have had the liberty of sending it, we have no doubt. May we raise seeds of the same sort.

OUR MAIL.

As the desired change has been made upon the B. & O. R. R., we hope that our paper-mails will reach their destination at the proper time.

DEMOCRATIC HARMONY.

Senator Hopper's reply to the Rockingham resolutions does not please the editor of the Valley Democrat, who says "it is evasive and pointless" whilst the editor of the Register says it "will challenge the respect and admiration of all who read it." It is direct, plain unequivocal, and distinctly indicates the lofty and dignified character of its author. But as both editors profess to be the exponents of R. C. Kingdom Democracy, we give our readers the benefit of an extract from each journal. The Democrat says:

"The letter which we publish in another column from Senator Hunter, in reply to the Rockingham resolutions, will no doubt be read with interest, but whether it will be satisfactory, is a question of some doubt. We consider it 'an reply at all'—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Administration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Register says:

"The following letter which we publish in another column from Senator Hunter, in reply to the Rockingham resolutions, will no doubt be read with interest, but whether it will be satisfactory, is a question of some doubt. We consider it 'an reply at all'—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator does not even venture as far as to the first article which endorses the Adminstration, but immediately jumps to "with aversion to other themes of his consequence to the people and irascible in his conduct." His resolution is canderous and unadvised. His friend in his position in regard to the policy of the Administration is certainly deserved, but his conduct is not. We consider it "an reply at all"—is evasive and pointless, and betrays a evident design on the part of Senator Hunter, to refer to the position in the conflict between the friends of the Administration and the Rebels."

The Senator

VIRGINIA FREE PRESS AND FARMERS' REPOSITORY.

CANAL NAVIGATION.

is for safety to have the whole navigation season to design, to the end that no increase State improvements shall be embarrassed by difficulties as we take. If we begin to make most improvements now, our work will not proceed all that has been gained, and the South will be the Kansas-Nebraska.

you are right, in the letter and the Habeas Corpus, upon your resolutions, because they can express myself freely but with great care who may differ from me. But it must be admitted that many, many men may judge by the general, that they ought not to divide upon these issues; the probability will be too great, and the South will ever be divided, if they do. In this case, the principle of the Kansas-Nebraska compact, goes up upon which the whole navigation will depend, overcomes the greatest difficulty. It respects the course, the hope that action arises, and its representation that they will be able to settle any difference, and that no action on the application of their principles, the good of the party and the country. "The fact as I am personally conscious of it, is that each man acts individually for the opinions which it is his duty to express. To you and you represent my personal confidence and confidence as you express in the which relate to myself personally. To the just and confidence of the public, I leave the whole of these resolutions. There is none other position with it. But it is their trust and not their fault, that if I were to act in the spirit of the compact, I could have no chance of success. Expressing these sentiments, I instant to appear before the public in a position which would be at all of places in the most prominent position of my own claims and interests. I hold that I prefer no claims—make no if I am to be called to account for my conduct in the public service. Misfortune, perhaps, my fault. Nor to be blamed for the fact, of having submitted such and done in keeping with the spirit of the compact, as I did.

Rev. J. NETT.

SATURDAY, NOV. 1857.

TUESDAY, NOV. 21.

WEDNESDAY, NOV. 22.

THURSDAY, NOV. 23.

FRIDAY, NOV. 24.

SATURDAY, NOV. 25.

SUNDAY, NOV. 26.

MONDAY, NOV. 27.

TUESDAY, NOV. 28.

WEDNESDAY, NOV. 29.

THURSDAY, NOV. 30.

FRIDAY, NOV. 31.

SATURDAY, NOV. 1.

SUNDAY, NOV. 2.

MONDAY, NOV. 3.

TUESDAY, NOV. 4.

WEDNESDAY, NOV. 5.

THURSDAY, NOV. 6.

FRIDAY, NOV. 7.

SATURDAY, NOV. 8.

SUNDAY, NOV. 9.

MONDAY, NOV. 10.

TUESDAY, NOV. 11.

WEDNESDAY, NOV. 12.

THURSDAY, NOV. 13.

FRIDAY, NOV. 14.

SATURDAY, NOV. 15.

SUNDAY, NOV. 16.

MONDAY, NOV. 17.

TUESDAY, NOV. 18.

WEDNESDAY, NOV. 19.

THURSDAY, NOV. 20.

FRIDAY, NOV. 21.

SATURDAY, NOV. 22.

SUNDAY, NOV. 23.

MONDAY, NOV. 24.

TUESDAY, NOV. 25.

WEDNESDAY, NOV. 26.

THURSDAY, NOV. 27.

FRIDAY, NOV. 28.

SATURDAY, NOV. 29.

SUNDAY, NOV. 30.

MONDAY, NOV. 31.

TUESDAY, NOV. 1.

WEDNESDAY, NOV. 2.

THURSDAY, NOV. 3.

FRIDAY, NOV. 4.

SATURDAY, NOV. 5.

SUNDAY, NOV. 6.

MONDAY, NOV. 7.

TUESDAY, NOV. 8.

WEDNESDAY, NOV. 9.

THURSDAY, NOV. 10.

FRIDAY, NOV. 11.

SATURDAY, NOV. 12.

SUNDAY, NOV. 13.

MONDAY, NOV. 14.

TUESDAY, NOV. 15.

WEDNESDAY, NOV. 16.

THURSDAY, NOV. 17.

FRIDAY, NOV. 18.

SATURDAY, NOV. 19.

SUNDAY, NOV. 20.

MONDAY, NOV. 21.

TUESDAY, NOV. 22.

WEDNESDAY, NOV. 23.

THURSDAY, NOV. 24.

FRIDAY, NOV. 25.

SATURDAY, NOV. 26.

SUNDAY, NOV. 27.

MONDAY, NOV. 28.

TUESDAY, NOV. 29.

WEDNESDAY, NOV. 30.

THURSDAY, NOV. 31.

FRIDAY, NOV. 1.

SATURDAY, NOV. 2.

SUNDAY, NOV. 3.

MONDAY, NOV. 4.

TUESDAY, NOV. 5.

WEDNESDAY, NOV. 6.

THURSDAY, NOV. 7.

FRIDAY, NOV. 8.

SATURDAY, NOV. 9.

SUNDAY, NOV. 10.

MONDAY, NOV. 11.

TUESDAY, NOV. 12.

WEDNESDAY, NOV. 13.

THURSDAY, NOV. 14.

FRIDAY, NOV. 15.

SATURDAY, NOV. 16.

SUNDAY, NOV. 17.

MONDAY, NOV. 18.

TUESDAY, NOV. 19.

WEDNESDAY, NOV. 20.

THURSDAY, NOV. 21.

FRIDAY, NOV. 22.

SATURDAY, NOV. 23.

SUNDAY, NOV. 24.

MONDAY, NOV. 25.

TUESDAY, NOV. 26.

WEDNESDAY, NOV. 27.

THURSDAY, NOV. 28.

FRIDAY, NOV. 29.

SATURDAY, NOV. 30.

SUNDAY, NOV. 31.

MONDAY, NOV. 1.

TUESDAY, NOV. 2.

WEDNESDAY, NOV. 3.

THURSDAY, NOV. 4.

FRIDAY, NOV. 5.

SATURDAY, NOV. 6.

SUNDAY, NOV. 7.

MONDAY, NOV. 8.

TUESDAY, NOV. 9.

WEDNESDAY, NOV. 10.

THURSDAY, NOV. 11.

FRIDAY, NOV. 12.

SATURDAY, NOV. 13.

SUNDAY, NOV. 14.

MONDAY, NOV. 15.

TUESDAY, NOV. 16.

WEDNESDAY, NOV. 17.

THURSDAY, NOV. 18.

FRIDAY, NOV. 19.

SATURDAY, NOV. 20.

SUNDAY, NOV. 21.

MONDAY, NOV. 22.

TUESDAY, NOV. 23.

WEDNESDAY, NOV. 24.

THURSDAY, NOV. 25.

FRIDAY, NOV. 26.

SATURDAY, NOV. 27.

SUNDAY, NOV. 28.

MONDAY, NOV. 29.

TUESDAY, NOV. 30.

WEDNESDAY, NOV. 31.

THURSDAY, NOV. 1.

FRIDAY, NOV. 2.

SATURDAY, NOV. 3.

SUNDAY, NOV. 4.

MONDAY, NOV. 5.

TUESDAY, NOV. 6.

WEDNESDAY, NOV. 7.

THURSDAY, NOV. 8.

FRIDAY, NOV. 9.

SATURDAY, NOV. 10.

SUNDAY, NOV. 11.

MONDAY, NOV. 12.

TUESDAY, NOV. 13.

WEDNESDAY, NOV. 14.

THURSDAY, NOV. 15.

FRIDAY, NOV. 16.

SATURDAY, NOV. 17.

SUNDAY, NOV. 18.

MONDAY, NOV. 19.

TUESDAY, NOV. 20.

WEDNESDAY, NOV. 21.

THURSDAY, NOV. 22.

FRIDAY, NOV. 23.

SATURDAY, NOV. 24.

SUNDAY, NOV. 25.

MONDAY, NOV. 26.

TUESDAY, NOV. 27.

WEDNESDAY, NOV. 28.

THURSDAY, NOV. 29.

